IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

TUESDAY, THE 20TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 1ST PHALGUNA 1928

OP.No. 11331 of 2001(Y)

PETITIONER:

MISS. ROOPA RAJAN (MINOR), ROHINI,
XVII/416, CHEMBUKAVU ROAD, CHEMBUKAVU,
THRISSUR – 680 020.
REPRESENTED BY FATHER AND GUARDIAN DR. V.RAJAN.

BY ADV. SRI.S.EASWARAN

RESPONDENTS:

THE CONTROLLER OF GOVERNMENT EXAMINATIONS,
PAREEKSHA BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER – ADV.SMT.R.BINDU

THIS ORIGINAL PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 20/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

O.P.No. 11331/2001

ORDER ON CMP 18231/2001 IN O.P.No. 11331/2001

DISMISSED.

20/02/2007 SD/- (C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1 COPY OF MARK LIST BEARING REGISTER NO. 308055 OF THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P2 COPY OF JUDGMENT DATED 15TH DECEMBER 2000 IN O.P.No. NO. 27616/1999 K.

EXT.P3 COPY OF COMMUNICATION NO.A4/4849/99/CGE DATED 12/1/2001 ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT.

EXT.P4 COPY OF COMMUNICATION DATED 15/1/2001 ALONG WITH THE POINTS SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE RESPONDENT.

EXT.P5 COPY OF COMMUNICATION NO.A4/4849/99/CGE DATED 14/2/2001 ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT.

EXT.P6 COPY OF COMMUNICATION NO.A4/4849/00/CGE DATED 19/2/2001 ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT.

EXT.P7 COPY OF REVALUATION CHART IN RESPECT OF SANSKRIT PAPER.

EXT.P8 COPY OF REVALUATION CHART IN RESPECT OF MALAYALAM PAPER II.

EXT.P9 COPY OF REVALUATION CHART IN RESPECT OF GEOGRAPHY AND ECONOMICS.

EXT.P10 COPY OF REVALUATION CHART IN RESPECT OF ENGLISH PAPER I.

EXT.P11 COPY OF REVALUATION CHART IN RESPECT OF BIOLOGY.

// TRUE COPY //

PA TO JUDGE

jg

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

O.P. NO. 11331 OF 2001 Y

Dated, this the 20th day of February, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner is challenging Ext.P6 order, where under an additional one mark is awarded to the petitioner over and above the marks she got on revaluation of answer papers in the SSLC examination held in 1999. Petitioner originally got 570 marks and on revaluation got 10 marks more, and in the course of scrutiny pursuant to direction issued by this Court petitioner got seven marks, making the total marks to 587. In spite of all these, the petitioner approached this Court and this Court vide Ext.P3 judgment, directed to scrutinize the answer books of some subjects, which was done by the respondent, the result of which was communicated vide Ext.P6. It is seen that petitioner is awarded one mark more in the subject Malayalam – II. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the scrutiny is not done in terms of direction, in as much as the 2nd respondent himself has not scrutinized the answer books but he delegated to some others. On going through the judgment, I find this Court

authorized delegation of the work by any other suitable person. Since the petitioner already got relief and the matter pertains to the year 1999 and petitioner has reached much higher levels of education leaving SSLC result inconsequential as of now, this original petition is closed.

(C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE)

jg